



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,792	03/26/2004	Kazuo Sugimoto	9683/180	9618

7590 02/06/2008  
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione  
P.O. Box 10395  
Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER

HOLDER, ANNER N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2621

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/06/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/810,792

Applicant(s)

SUGIMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Anner Holder

Art Unit

2621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

1. Applicant's amendments see page 9 Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C § 101, filed 11/19/2007, with respect to claims 6 and 12 have been fully considered and are not persuasive. The 35 U.S.C § 101 rejection of claims 6 and 12 has been maintained. [See Applicant's specification Pg. 37 ¶ 0056; Pg. 67 ¶ 0119; Pg. 81 ¶ 0145]

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakami et al. (Murakami) US 6,272,177 B1 in view of Joch et al. (Joch) US 7,227,901 B2.

5. As to claim 1, Murakami teaches a video encoding apparatus comprising motion compensation prediction means for dividing a coding target frame into a plurality of blocks, [Col. 1 Lines 45-46 and 66-67] generating a prediction reference image that are formed by providing interpolated pixels which are produced by interpolation between integer pixels of a reference pixel in a predetermined region of the reference frame, [Col. 1 Lines 45-46; Col. 2 Lines 4-7; Fig. 1; Figs. 6-8; Fig. 13-17] and generating a predicted image the coding target frame

by determining a motion vector for the prediction reference images for each of the plurality of blocks, [Colo. 1 Lines 40-46; Fig. 1; Figs. 6-8; Fig. 13-17] the motion compensation prediction means having: complexity extraction means for extracting complexity information which indicates a degree of complexity of movement between said coding target frame and said reference frame for each of the plurality of blocks; [Col. 1 Lines 40-43; Col. 9 Lines 14-23; Col. 3 Line 65 - Col. 4 Line 5; Col. 8 Line 66 - Col. 9 Line 8; Col. 9 Lines 12-39; Col. 12 Lines 17-26; Col. 13 Lines 50-54; Fig. 1; Figs. 6-8; Fig. 13-17; the prediction error is clearly a measure for the motion complexity.] Murakami also teaches the use of low-pass filters. [Fig. 9; Col. 12 Lines 27-35]

Murakami does not specifically teach filter storing means for preliminarily storing two low-pass filters with different high-frequency cutoff characteristics; and predicted image generating means for determining the number of filtering pixels depending on said complexity information for each of the plurality of blocks on basis of a predetermined rule, wherein said filtering pixel is said interpolated pixel which have pixel values produced by applying the low-pass filter having the narrower spectral band-pass in low frequency band of said two low-pass filters to neighborhood integer pixels.

Joch teaches filter storing means for preliminarily storing [Fig. 4 (44)] two low-pass filters with different high-frequency cutoff characteristics; and predicted image generating means [Fig. 4 (spatial compensation process)] for determining the number of filtering pixels depending on said complexity information for each of the plurality of blocks on basis of a predetermined rule, [Fig. 4 (intra/inter coding process)] wherein said filtering pixel is said interpolated pixel which have pixel values produced by applying the low-pass filter having the narrower spectral

band-pass in low frequency band of said two low-pass filters to neighborhood integer pixels.

[Fig. 3a (47 – p or q); Figs. 3b -4; Fig. 5 (130 or 134); Col. 17 lines 58-67; Col. 19 lines 2-7]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Joch with the coding device of Murakami, to allow for efficient filtering and reduction of artifacts

6. As to claim 2, see rejection of claim 1, except this is a claim to an encoding method with the same limitations as claim 1.

7. As to claim 6, see rejection of claim 1, except this is a claim to an encoding program with the same limitations as claim 1.

8. As to claim 7, see rejection of claim 1, except this is a claim to a decoding apparatus with the same limitations as claim 1. Decoding apparatus performs the inverse function of the encoding apparatus having the same units as the local decoder (5) in Fig. 1 and performing the same tasks of a remote decoder.

9. As to claim 8, see rejection of claim 1, except this is a claim to a decoding method with the same limitations as claim 1.

10. As to claim 12, see rejection of claim 1, except this is a claim to a decoding program with the same limitations as claim 1.

11. Claims 3, 5, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (Murakami) US 6,272,177 B1 in view of Joch et al. (Joch) US 7,227,901 B2 further in view of Sun et al. (Sun) US 2002/0146072 A1.

12. As to claim 3, Murakami (modified by Joch) teaches the complexity extraction means uses an absolute value of a differential motion vector. [Col. 1 Lines 39-49]

Murakami does not explicitly teach the use of a block neighboring the block for which the complexity information is to be extracted as the complexity information.

Sun teach the use of a block neighboring the block for which the complexity information is to be extracted as the complexity information. [Pg. 4 ¶0050-0051]

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to combine Sun's teaching of using adjacent block information with the coding device of Murakami (modified by Joch), to optimize image processing by utilizing the similar coding parameters of the adjacent blocks and skipping unnecessary redundant functions.

13. As to claim 5, see rejection of claim 3 above.

14. As to claim 9, see rejection of claim 3, except this is a claim to decoding method with the same limitations as claim 3.

15. As to claim 11, see rejection of claim 3, except this is a claim to decoding method with the same limitations as claim 3.

16. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (Murakami) US 6,272,177 B1 in view of Joch et al. (Joch) US 7,227,901 B2 further in view of Shen et al. (Shen), "Adaptive Motion Vector Resampling for Compressed Video Down Scaling", IEEE, 1997.

17. As to claim 4, Murakami (modified by Joch) teaches limitations of claim 2.

Murakami (modified by Joch) does not specifically teach conversion step in which conversion means converts predicted residual difference image produced by calculating a difference between the coding target frame and the predicted image into a set of coefficients on the basis of a predetermined conversion rule, wherein the complexity extraction means use the

numbers of non-zero coefficients among the coefficients in a block neighboring the blocks for which the complexity information is to be extracted as the complexity information.

Shen teaches conversion step in which conversion means converts predicted residual difference image produced by calculating a difference between the coding target frame and the predicted image into a set of coefficients on the basis of a predetermined conversion rule, wherein the complexity extraction means use the numbers of non-zero coefficients among the coefficients in a block surrounding the blocks for which the complexity information is to be extracted as the complexity information. [Pg 772 Col. 2 ¶ 2 lines 8-9 (number of non-zero DCT coefficients of prediction residuals as a measure of block activity – well known strong spatial correlation of image data.)]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of non-zero coefficients for prediction teachings of Shen with the coding device of Murakami (modified by Joch), allowing for more accurate prediction of the motion vector, the quantities are proportional to the spatial activity measurement. [Pg 772 Col. 2 ¶ 2]

18. As to claim 10, Murakami (modified by Joch) teaches limitations of claim 8.

Murakami (modified by Joch) does not specifically teach conversion step in which conversion means converts predicted residual difference image produced by calculating a difference between the coding target frame and the predicted image into a set of coefficients on the basis of a predetermined conversion rule, wherein the complexity extraction means use the numbers of non-zero coefficients among the coefficients in a block neighboring the blocks for which the complexity information is to be extracted as the complexity information.

Shen teaches conversion step in which conversion means converts predicted residual difference image produced by calculating a difference between the coding target frame and the predicted image into a set of coefficients on the basis of a predetermined conversion rule, wherein the complexity extraction means use the numbers of non-zero coefficients among the coefficients in a block neighboring the blocks for which the complexity information is to be extracted as the complexity information. [Pg 772 Col. 2 ¶ 2 lines 8-9 (number of non-zero DCT coefficients of prediction residuals as a measure of block activity – well known strong spatial correlation of image data.)]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of non-zero coefficients for prediction teachings of Shen with the coding device of Murakami (modified by Joch), allowing for more accurate prediction of the motion vector, the quantities are proportional to the spatial activity measurement. [Pg 772 Col. 2 ¶ 2]

### *Conclusion*

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37



Application/Control Number:  
10/810,792  
Art Unit: 2621

Page 8

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anner Holder whose telephone number is 571-270-1549. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-F 8 am - 3 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANH 01/29/08



TUNGVO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER